





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,373	10/31/2000	Kouji Shiraishi	P100021-00030	7821
. 7	590 05/06/2003			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-5339			EXAMINER	
			VANOY, TIMOTHY C	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	1,
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	, -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
•		 			
		•	EXAMINER		
• •					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION
☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) X is extended to run 6 MONTHS or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). date-stamped April 16 2003 Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. (D) PG. 5 LNS. 4-14 WI THE APPLICANTS' SPECIFICATION SUPPORTS THE ABSORPTION OF THE GAS WITH THE SEAWATER WITHOUT USING CHEMICALS, NOT THE PROPOSED NOTE: DISCHARGE OF DYLOIZED SEAWATER WITHOUT USING CHEMICALS. (E) THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT IS REPLETE WITH TYPING ERRORS (FOR EXAMPLE, ERRONEOUSLY TYPING "FC 9-7" INSTEAD OF "FC 5-7" ETC.) (3) THE DIMENISIONS OF 500 mm IS MISSING FROM THE COLUMN DIAMETER.
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🗌 will be entered 🗷 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed:NONE
Claims objected to: NONE Claims rejected: 1 AND 2
However;
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): (NONE)
4. A The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because OF THE REASONS OF RECORD, JP - 643 ALSO SORBS THE CONTAMINANTS OUT OF THE GAS INTO SEAWATER.
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
Other STANLEY S. SILVERMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62704